3rd CNS International Summer School (CISS04)

Towards the Universal Nuclear Energy Density Functional (August 17-20, 2004) Witek Nazarewicz Tennessee/Warsaw witek@utk.edu

Introduction

·Basic concepts, general strategy, burning questions

Mean-field theory

Hartree-Fock (-Bogoliubov)

Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking

·Deformed Mean Fields

·Pairing

Density functional theory

Introduction to DFT and LDA

Nuclear Energy Density Functional

Nuclear fields

•Energy, shell effects

Densities, radii

Beyond Mean Field (correlation terms)

·Generator Coordinate Method

Random Phase Approximation

Summary & Perspectives

<u>Literature</u>

- A. Bohr and B. Mottelson, Nuclear Structure
- P. Ring and P. Schuck, 1980, The Nuclear Many-Body Problem (Springer-Verlag, New York)
- M. Bender, P.-H. Heenen, and P.G. Reinhard, Rev. Mod. Phys. 75, 121 (2003)
- The Nuclear Many-Body Problem 2001, NATO Science Series 53, ed. by W. Nazarewicz and D. Vretenar
- J. Dobaczewski, Lectures at Maubuisson: <u>http://www.fuw.edu.pl/~dobaczew/Maubuisson/sld001.htm</u>
- J. Dobaczewski and W. Nazarewicz, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A 356, 2007 (1998)
- M. Brack and R.K. Bhaduri, 1997, *Semiclassical Physics* (Addison-Wesley, Reading)
- W, Nazarewicz, in *An Advanced Course in Modern Nuclear Physics*, ed. by J.M. Arias and M. Lozano, (Lecture Notes in Physics, Springer Berlin, 2001), p. 102







Energy Scales in Nuclear Physics



The Nuclear Many-Body Problem



Hartree Fock

(a brief summary)

A.Two-body (density-dependent) interaction (or the energy density functional)

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{ij} t_{ij} c_i^{\dagger} c_j + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{ijkl} \overline{v}_{ijkl} c_i^{\dagger} c_j^{\dagger} c_l c_k$$

B. Variational principle:

$$\delta E[\Psi] = 0; \quad E[\Psi] = \frac{\left\langle \Psi | \hat{H} | \Psi \right\rangle}{\left\langle \Psi | \Psi \right\rangle}; \quad E[\Psi] > E_0$$

C. Trial wave functions: particle-number conserving product states

$$\begin{split} |\Psi\rangle &= \prod_{\alpha=1}^{A} a_{\alpha}^{+} |-\rangle; \quad h_{HF} |\alpha\rangle = \varepsilon_{\alpha} |\alpha\rangle \\ a_{\alpha}^{+} &= \sum_{i} D_{i\alpha} c_{i}^{+}; \quad D^{+} D = DD^{+} = 1 \\ & \text{known basis (e.g., h.o. basis)} \end{split}$$

... or one can explicitly use the Thouless theorem:

$$|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\langle \tilde{Z} | \tilde{Z} \rangle}} | \tilde{Z} \rangle, \quad |\tilde{Z}\rangle = \exp\left(\sum_{ph} \tilde{Z}_{ph}^* a_p^* a_h\right) a_1^* a_2^* \dots a_A^* | 0 \rangle$$

Density matrix:

$$\rho_{ij} = \langle \Psi | c_j^+ c_i | \Psi \rangle = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{A} D_{i\alpha} D_{j\alpha}^*$$

$$Tr(\hat{\rho}) = A; \quad \hat{\rho}^2 = \hat{\rho} \Rightarrow \hat{\rho}(1 - \hat{\rho}) = 0$$
Eigenvalues of ρ
are the occupation
quantum numbers:

$$n_{\alpha} = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ for } \alpha \le A \\ 0 \text{ for } \alpha > A \end{cases}$$

Consider a small variation $\delta \rho$:



$$E_{HF}(\hat{\rho}) = Tr(t\hat{\rho}) + \frac{1}{2}Tr_1Tr_1(\hat{\rho}\overline{\nu}\hat{\rho})$$
$$\delta E_{HF} = E_{HF}(\hat{\rho} + \delta\hat{\rho}) - E_{HF}(\hat{\rho}) = 0$$

$$\delta E_{HF} = \sum_{ij} h_{ij} \delta \rho_{ij} = 0, \quad h_{ij} \equiv \frac{\delta E_{HF}(\hat{\rho})}{\delta \rho_{ji}} = t_{ij} + \Gamma_{ij}$$

$$h=0 \quad \text{in the}$$

$$ph \ channell$$

.

HF hamiltonian does not couple particle and hole states!

$$\begin{split} \textbf{Self-consistent HF field:} \\ \Gamma_{ij} &\equiv \sum_{kl} \overline{v}_{ikjl} \rho_{kl} + \left\langle \Psi \middle| \frac{\delta \overline{v}}{\delta \rho_{ji}} \middle| \Psi \right\rangle \\ \textbf{Rearrangement} \\ \textbf{potential (results from the density dependence of v)} \end{split}$$

Hartree-Fock equations

$$\begin{bmatrix} h, \hat{\rho} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} t + \Gamma(\hat{\rho}), \hat{\rho} \end{bmatrix} = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{j} h_{ij} (D, D^*) D_{j\alpha} = \varepsilon_{\alpha} D_{i\alpha}$$

$$P \text{ and } h \text{ can be} \\ \text{diagonalized} \\ \text{simultaneously}$$
Non-linear Hermitian \\ \text{eigenvalue problem}



Hartree Fock stability condition

HF minimum should correspond to the positive second derivative of the energy.

$$\rho = \rho^{(0)} + \rho^{(1)} + \rho^{(2)} + \dots \quad \left(\rho^{(0)} \gg \rho^{(1)} \gg \rho^{(2)} \gg \dots\right)$$

$$\rho^{2} = \rho \quad \text{if } \rho^{(1)} = \left[\left[\rho^{(1)}, \rho^{(0)}\right], \rho^{(0)}\right]$$

$$\rho^{(2)} = \left[\left[\rho^{(2)}, \rho^{(0)}\right], \rho^{(0)}\right] + \frac{1}{2}\left[\left[\rho^{(1)}, \rho^{(0)}\right], \rho^{(1)}\right]$$

This implies that independent variations are only in the ph channel (pp and hh matrix elements of $\rho^{(1)}$ and $\rho^{(2)}$ vanish)

$$E = E^{(0)} + E^{(1)} + E^{(2)} + \dots$$

$$E^{(0)} = \operatorname{Tr}(T\rho^{(0)}) + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}(\Gamma^{(0)}\rho^{(0)})$$

$$E^{(1)} = \operatorname{Tr}([h^{(0)}, \rho^{(0)}][\rho^{(0)}, \rho^{(1)}])$$

$$E^{(2)} = \operatorname{Tr}([h^{(0)}, \rho^{(0)}][\rho^{(0)}, \rho^{(21)}])$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}(\rho^{(1)}[[h^{(0)}, \rho^{(1)}] + [\Gamma^{(1)}, \rho^{(0)}], \rho^{(0)}])$$

$$E^{(1)} = 0$$

$$E^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\rho^{(1)} | M^{(0)} | \rho^{(1)} \right) > 0$$

$$M^{(0)} \rho^{(1)} = \left[\left[h^{(0)}, \rho^{(1)} \right] + \left[\Gamma^{(1)}, \rho^{(0)} \right], \rho^{(0)} \right]$$

The HF stability matrix (must be positively defined)

Relativistic mean field theory

RMF: Walecka, Ann. Phys. 83 (1974) 491

$$\begin{split} L &= \overline{\psi} \Big[i\gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} - M \Big] \psi + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \sigma \partial^{\mu} \sigma - U(\sigma) - g_{\sigma} \overline{\psi} \psi \sigma \\ &- \frac{1}{4} H_{\mu\nu} H^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} m_{\omega}^{2} \omega_{\mu} \omega^{\mu} - g_{\omega} \overline{\psi} \gamma_{\mu} \psi \omega^{\mu} \\ &- \frac{1}{4} G_{\mu\nu}^{a} G^{a\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} m_{\rho}^{2} \rho_{\mu}^{a} \rho^{a\mu} - g_{\rho} \overline{\psi} \gamma_{\mu} \tau^{a} \psi \rho^{\mu a} \\ &- \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - e \overline{\psi} \gamma_{\mu} \frac{(1 - \tau_{3})}{2} \psi A^{\mu} \end{split}$$

 ψ - SU(2) baryon field of mass M, $\sigma, \omega_{\mu}, \rho_{\mu}^{a}$ - mesonic fields with masses $m_{\sigma}, m_{\omega}, m_{\rho}$ A_{μ} - photon field, $H_{\mu\nu}, G_{\mu\nu}^{a}, F_{\mu\nu}$ - field tensors for the vector fields $g_{\sigma}, g_{\omega}, g_{\rho}$ - meson - NN coupling constants $U(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2}m_{\sigma}^{2}\sigma^{2} + \frac{1}{3}g_{3}\sigma^{3} + \frac{1}{4}g_{4}\sigma^{4}$ - nonlinear (NL) σ - potential.

The stationary Dirac equation for baryons

$$\begin{bmatrix} -i\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\nabla} + \beta M^*(\vec{r}) + V(\vec{r}) \end{bmatrix} \psi_i(\vec{r}) = \varepsilon_i \psi_i(\vec{r})$$
$$M^*(\vec{r}) = M + g_\sigma \sigma(\vec{r}), \qquad V(\vec{r}) = g_\omega \omega^0(\vec{r}) + g_\rho \tau^3 \rho^0(\vec{r}) + e \frac{1 - \tau_3}{2} A^0(\vec{r})$$

The spatial currents vanish if spherical symmetry imposed. Charge conservation guarantees that only the third component of ρ survives. The mesonic field are found by solving the Klein-Gordon equations. The photon field is found by solving the Poisson equation. For example:

$$\left(-\Delta + m_{\rho}^{2}\right)\rho^{0}(r) = g_{\rho}\rho_{3}(r), \quad \rho_{3}(r) = \sum_{i=1}^{A} \overline{\psi}_{i}(r)\tau_{3}\gamma^{0}\psi_{i}(r)$$

Constrained Hartree-Fock

Often, we are interested not only in the local HF minima but also in the whole potential energy surface (PES)



Self-consistent HF symmetries



If there is a solution with a (spontaneously) broken symmetry *S...*



...then every transformed (rotated) state is also a solution of the HF equations!

Goldstone Theorem

$$\hat{\rho}_1 = S\hat{\rho}S^+ \qquad \blacksquare \qquad M^{(0)}\hat{\rho}_1 = 0$$

If a symmetry is broken, there appears a zero-energy mode (Goldstone boson!)

Nuclear deformation: spontaneous symmetry breaking

Molecular physics: Jahn-Teller effect 1937

Any configuration of atoms or ions (except a linear chain) can develop a stable symmetrybreaking deformation provided the coupling between degenerate electronic excitations and collective molecular vibrations is strong.

Nuclear physics: Bohr-Mottelson 1952-53

Any nuclear configuration can develop a stable symmetry-breaking deformation provided the coupling between degenerate single-nucleonic excitations and collective nuclear modes is strong.

The unified model. Particle vibration coupling

$$V_{\rm int} = -\kappa(r) \sum_{\lambda\mu} \alpha_{\lambda\mu} Y_{\lambda\mu}(\Omega)$$

Symmetry breaking



Nuclear deformations, examples



Laboratory frame and intrinsic frame









S. Cwiok, P.H. Heenen, W. Nazarewicz

S. Cwiok, P.H. Heenen, W. Nazarewicz





Independent quasiparticle approach



Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov

A. Two-body (density-dependent) interaction:

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{ij} t_{ij} c_i^+ c_j + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{ijkl} \overline{v}_{ijkl} c_i^+ c_j^+ c_l c_k$$

B. Variational principle:
$$\delta E[\Psi] = 0; \quad E[\Psi] = \frac{\langle \Psi | \hat{H} | \Psi \rangle}{\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle}; \quad E[\Psi] > E_0$$

C. Trial wave functions: product states

$$\beta_{K}^{+} = \sum_{i} (u_{iK}c_{i}^{+} + v_{iK}c_{i})$$
general Bogoliubov
transformation

$$|\Psi\rangle = \prod_{K} \beta_{K}|-\rangle; \quad \beta_{K}|\Psi\rangle = 0$$
HFB wave function is the quasuparticle
into the HF formalism
HFB wave function - the most general
product wave function consisting of independently
moving quasiparticles (in HF: particles)
Selfconsistent description of coupling between p-h
an p-p channels

HFB - density matrix and pairing tensor



HFB equations

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{K} &= \begin{pmatrix} \hat{h} - \lambda & \hat{\Delta} \\ -\hat{\Delta}^* & -\hat{h}^* + \lambda \end{pmatrix} \\ h_{ij} &= t_{ij} + \Gamma_{ij} \\ \Gamma_{ij} &= \sum_{kl} \nabla_{iljk} \rho_{kl} \\ \Delta_{ij} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{kl} \nabla_{ijkl} K_{kl} \\ \end{split}$$
HFB equations

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\hat{h} - \lambda & \hat{\Delta} \\ -\hat{\Delta}^* & -\hat{h}^* + \lambda \right) \begin{pmatrix} u_K \\ v_K \end{pmatrix} &= E_K \begin{pmatrix} u_K \\ v_K \end{pmatrix} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\$$

□ Often it is convenien to express the HFB equations

in the canonical basis

MEAN-FIELD APPROACH

Towards Nuclear Energy Density Functional



+ pairing densities!



What are the main goals we want to achieve?

- 1. Find a universal energy density functional which correctly describes:
 - a) static ground-state properties of all bound nuclei,
 - b) infinite nuclear and neutron matter,
 - c) rotational properties,
 - d) low-energy vibrations,
 - e) small-amplitude vibrations,
 - f) large-amplitude adiabatic properties (fission),
- 2. Find limits of applicability of the energy density functional approach:
 - a) explicit symmetry restoration,
 - b) configuration mixing,
 - c) two-body effects and correlations,
 - d) non-local effects,
 - e) energy, density, spin, isospin, and mass limits,
- 3. Find derivation and/or motivation from first principles.

Thomas Fermi Energy Density Functional (early atomic applications)

The ground state energy of electrons is given by the energy density functional:

$$E_{TF}[\rho(\vec{r})] = T_{TF}[\rho(\vec{r})] + E_{C}[\rho(\vec{r})]$$

 $T_{TF}[\rho(\vec{r})] = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{3}{5} (3\pi^2)^{2/3} \int \rho(\vec{r})^{5/3} d^3r$

kinetic energy of non-interacting electron gas

$$\int \rho(\vec{r}) d^3r = n$$

particle-number constraint

$$\begin{split} E_{C}[\rho(\vec{r})] &= \int \int \rho(\vec{r})\rho(\vec{r}') \frac{e^{2}}{|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|} d^{3}r d^{3}r' \\ &+ E_{C,nucl}[\rho(\vec{r})] & \text{electrostatic (Coulomb)} \\ &+ e_{C,nucl}[\rho(\vec{r})] & \text{electrostatic (Coulomb)} \\ \end{split}$$

- Instead of the many-body wave function described by (3+1)n variables, one focuses on the one-body density, which is a function of (x,y,z)
- Describes bulk effects (shell effects ignored)
- Exchange-correlation energy is ignored
- Can be extended in terms of a systematic semiclassical \hbar expansion of the Bloch density matrix (Wigner-Kirkwood expansion), see Brack and Bhaduri, "Semiclassical Physics" (Addison-Wesley Publ.), 1997

Density Functional Theory (introduced for many-electron systems)

The Hohenberg-Kohn theorem states that the ground state electron density minimizes the energy functional:

$$E[\rho(\vec{r})] = F[\rho(\vec{r})] + \int \rho(\vec{r}) V_{ion}(\vec{r}) d^3r$$

an universal functional

P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, "Inhomogeneous Electron Gas",
Phys. Rev. 136, B864 (1964)
M. Levy, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci (USA) 76, 6062 (1979)

The original HK proof applies to systems with nondegenerate ground states. It proceeds by *reductio ad absurdum*, using the variational principle. A more general proof was given by Levy.

- Assume that another functional F' with the ground state Ψ' gives rise to the same density ρ
- Unless F' F=const, $\Psi' \neq \Psi$ since they satisfy different Schroedinger equations.

$$E' = \langle \Psi' | \hat{H}' | \Psi' \rangle < \langle \Psi | \hat{H}' | \Psi \rangle = E + F'[\rho] - F[\rho]$$

$$E = \langle \Psi | \hat{H} | \Psi \rangle < \langle \Psi' | \hat{H} | \Psi' \rangle = E' + F[\rho] - F'[\rho]$$

$$E + E' < E + E'$$

- \bullet Thus F is (within a constant) a unique functional of ρ
- \succ The minimum value of E is the ground state electronic energy
- > Since F is a unique functional of the charge density, the energy is uniquely defined by ρ
- > Electron density is the fundamental variable
- Calculations based on DFT are restricted to systems in their ground state
- > proof of the Hohenberg-Kohn theorem is not constructive, hence the form of the universal functional F is not known

Since the density can unambiguously specify the potential, then contained within the charge density is the total information about the ground state of the system. Thus what was a 4N(3N)-variable problem (where N is the number of electrons, each one having three Cartesian variables and electron spin) is reduced to the four (three) variables needed to define the charge density at a point.

The density determined via the variational equation

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta\rho(\vec{r})} \Big[E[\rho(\vec{r})] - \mu \int d^3r \,\rho(\vec{r}) \Big] = 0$$

exchange correlation energy

$$F[\rho(\vec{r})] = T_s[\rho(\vec{r})] + \frac{1}{2}\int \rho(\vec{r})V_H[\rho(\vec{r})]d^3r + E_{xc}[\rho(\vec{r})]$$

kinetic energy density

Hartree potential

- > T_s represents that *part* of kinetic energy which corresponds to a system of independent particles with density ρ .
- > The Hartree potential V_{H} is found self-consistently
- The exchange correlation energy contains the exchange part of the energy, plus all the contributions due to the correlations, coming from the fact that the exact wave function is not a Slater determinant. In particular, correlations coming from the total kinetic energy enter this term.
- T_s is not known explicitly for many-fermion systems. One can approximate it with the ETF expression, but the semiclassical functional cannot describe quantum shell effects!

Density Functional Theory

Kohn-Sham equations

W. Kohn and L.J. Sham, "Self-Consistent Equations Including Exchange and Correlation Effects," Phys. Rev. **140**, A1133 (1965)

$$\rho(\vec{r}) = \sum_{i} n_{i} |\phi(\vec{r})|^{2}$$
$$T[\rho(\vec{r})] = \frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m} \sum_{i} n_{i} \int |\vec{\nabla}\phi_{i}(\vec{r})|^{2} d^{3}r$$

- Takes into account shell effects
- The link between T and ρ is indirect, via the orbitals ϕ
- The occupations n determine the electronic configuration

Orbitals ϕ form a complete set. The occupations *n* are given by the Pauli principle (e.g., *n*=2 or 0). The variation of the functional can be done through variations of individual s.p. trial functions with a constrtaint on their norms. It almost looks like HF, but $\langle \Psi | \hat{H} | \Psi \rangle$ is replaced by $E[\rho]$.

$$\left\{ \hat{T} + V_{KS}(\vec{r}) \right\} \phi_i(\vec{r}) = E_i \phi_i(\vec{r})$$
$$V_{KS}(\vec{r}) = V_H \left[\rho(\vec{r}) \right] + V_{xc} \left[\rho(\vec{r}) \right]$$
$$V_{xc} \left[\rho(\vec{r}) \right] = \frac{\delta}{\delta \rho(\vec{r})} E_{xc}(\rho)$$

Kohn-Sham equation





Local Density Approximation (LDA) for the exchange+correlation potential:

- One performs many-body calculations for an infinite system with a constant density $\boldsymbol{\rho}$
- The resulting energy per particle is used to extract the xc-part of the energy, $e_{xc}(\rho)$, which is a function of ρ
- The LDA of a finite system with variable density $\rho(r)$ consists in assuming the local xc-density to be that of the corresponding infinite system with density $\rho = \rho(r)$:

$$E_{xc}^{LDA}[\rho] = \int \rho(\vec{r}) e_{xc}[\rho(\vec{r})] d^3r$$

• The formalism can be extended to take spin degrees of freedom, by introducing spin-up and spin-down densities ('Local Spin Density' formalism, LSD or LSDA)

In regions where the charge density is slowly varying, the exchange correlation energy at that point can be considered the same as that for a locally uniform electron gas of the same charge density. Interestingly, the LDA even works reasonably well in systems where the charge density is rapidly varying. In order to move beyond the LDA, the addition of gradient corrections to incorporate longer range gradient effects is necessary.

The LDA is often used in nuclear physics (Brueckner et al., Negele). It states that the G-matrix at any place in a finite nucleus is the same as that for nuclear matter at the same density, so that locally one can calculate G-matrix as in nuclear matter calculation.

The exchange+correlation potential (an example)

The parameters in the above expression depend on the spin polarization; they are obtained by means of extrapolation between calculated values for fully-polarized and non-polarized cases. Calculations were carried out using the quantum Monte Carlo method. (See, e.g., J. P. Perdew and A. Zunger, Phys. Rev. B **23**, 5048 (1981).)



The calculated minimum energy conformation of acetylcholine showing electron density isosurface (from

The calculated bulk moduli (from http://www.physics.ohiostate.edu/~aulbur/dft/bdft5.html)

http://www.tcm.phy.cam.ac.uk/~mds21/report/node30.html)

Nuclear Densities as Composite Fields

Let us first consider unpaired systems. The basic building blocks of the nuclear energy density functional are densities and currents. Let us denote: $\vec{x} = (\vec{r}, \sigma, \tau)$

The single-particle density matrix:

$$\begin{split} \rho(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') &= \left\langle \Psi \middle| a^{+}(\vec{x}') a(\vec{x}) \middle| \Psi \right\rangle = \left[\sum_{k} n_{k} \phi_{k}^{*}(\vec{x}') \phi_{k}(\vec{x}) \right] & \text{natural orbitals} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \left[\rho_{00}(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} + \vec{s}_{00}(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{\sigma'\sigma} \right] \delta_{\tau\tau'} & \text{isoscalar} \\ &+ \sum_{\alpha=-1}^{+1} \left[\rho_{1\alpha}(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} + \vec{s}_{1\alpha}(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{\sigma'\sigma} \right] \left(\tau_{\tau'\tau} \right)_{\alpha} \right\} & \text{isovector} \\ \vec{\sigma}_{\sigma'\sigma} &= \left\langle \sigma' \middle| \hat{\sigma} \middle| \sigma \right\rangle, \quad \vec{\tau}_{\tau'\tau} = \left\langle \tau' \middle| \hat{\tau} \middle| \tau \right\rangle \end{split}$$

Often, one can consider pure neutron and proton states. In such a case, only α =0 components of the isovector densities contribute and the second index can be disregarded. This gives the following local densities and currents:

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{matter} \\ \rho_{0}(\vec{r}) = \rho_{0}(\vec{r},\vec{r}) = \sum_{\sigma} \rho(\vec{r}\sigma\tau;\vec{r}\sigma\tau) & \text{isoscalar (T=0) density } (\rho_{0} = \rho_{n} + \rho_{p}) \\ \rho_{1}(\vec{r}) = \rho_{1}(\vec{r},\vec{r}) = \sum_{\sigma} \rho(\vec{r}\sigma\tau;\vec{r}\sigma\tau)\tau & \text{isovector (T=1) density } (\rho_{1} = \rho_{n} - \rho_{p}) \\ \hline s_{0}(\vec{r}) = \sum_{\sigma\sigma'\tau} \rho(\vec{r}\sigma\tau;\vec{r}\sigma'\tau)\sigma_{\sigma'\sigma} & \text{spin} \\ \hline s_{1}(\vec{r}) = \sum_{\sigma\sigma'\tau} \rho(\vec{r}\sigma\tau;\vec{r}\sigma'\tau)\sigma_{\sigma'\sigma}\tau & \text{isovector spin density} \\ \hline s_{1}(\vec{r}) = \frac{i}{2}(\vec{\nabla}' - \vec{\nabla})\rho_{T}(\vec{r},\vec{r}')|_{\vec{r}'=\vec{r}} & \text{current density} \\ \hline J_{T}(\vec{r}) = \frac{i}{2}(\vec{\nabla}' - \vec{\nabla}) \otimes \vec{s}_{T}(\vec{r},\vec{r}')|_{\vec{r}'=\vec{r}} & \text{spin-current tensor density} \\ \hline \tau_{T}(\vec{r}) = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \cdot \rho_{T}(\vec{r},\vec{r}')|_{\vec{r}'=\vec{r}} & \text{spin-current tensor density} \\ \hline T_{T}(\vec{r}) = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{s}_{T}(\vec{r},\vec{r}')|_{\vec{r}'=\vec{r}} & \text{kinetic density} \\ \hline T_{T}(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{2} [\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{\nabla}' + \vec{\nabla}' \otimes \vec{\nabla}] \vec{s}_{T}(\vec{r},\vec{r}')|_{\vec{r}'=\vec{r}} & \text{kinetic tensor density} \\ \hline \mathbf{F}_{T}(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{2} [\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{\nabla}' + \vec{\nabla}' \otimes \vec{\nabla}] \vec{s}_{T}(\vec{r},\vec{r}')|_{\vec{r}'=\vec{r}} & \text{kinetic tensor density} \\ \hline \mathbf{F}_{T}(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{2} [\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{\nabla}' + \vec{\nabla}' \otimes \vec{\nabla}] \vec{s}_{T}(\vec{r},\vec{r}')|_{\vec{r}'=\vec{r}} & \text{kinetic tensor density} \\ \hline \mathbf{F}_{T}(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{2} [\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{\nabla}' + \vec{\nabla}' \otimes \vec{\nabla}] \vec{s}_{T}(\vec{r},\vec{r}')|_{\vec{r}'=\vec{r}} & \text{kinetic tensor density} \\ \hline \mathbf{F}_{T}(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{2} [\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{\nabla}' + \vec{\nabla}' \otimes \vec{\nabla}] \vec{s}_{T}(\vec{r},\vec{r}')|_{\vec{r}'=\vec{r}} & \text{kinetic tensor density} \\ \hline \mathbf{F}_{T}(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{2} [\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{\nabla}' + \vec{\nabla}' \otimes \vec{\nabla}] \vec{s}_{T}(\vec{r},\vec{r}')|_{\vec{r}'=\vec{r}} & \text{kinetic tensor density} \\ \hline \mathbf{F}_{T}(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{2} [\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{\nabla}' + \vec{\nabla}' \otimes \vec{\nabla}] \vec{s}_{T}(\vec{r},\vec{r}')]_{\vec{r}'=\vec{r}} & \text{kinetic tensor density} \\ \hline \mathbf{F}_{T}(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{2} [\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{\nabla}' + \vec{\nabla}' \otimes \vec{\nabla}] \vec{s}_{T}(\vec{r},\vec{r}')]_{\vec{r}'=\vec{r}} & \text{kinetic tensor density} \\ \hline \mathbf{F}_{T}(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{2} [\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{\nabla}' + \vec{\nabla}' \otimes \vec{\nabla}] \vec{s}_{T}(\vec{r},\vec{r}')]_{\vec{r}'=\vec{r}} & \text{kinetic tensor density} \\ \hline \mathbf{F}_{T}(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{2} [\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{\nabla}' + \vec{\nabla}' \otimes \vec{\nabla}] \vec{s}_{T}(\vec{r},\vec{r}')]_{\vec{r}'=\vec{r}} & \text{kinetic tensor density} \\ \hline \mathbf{F}_{T}(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{2} [\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{\nabla}' + \vec{\nabla}' \otimes \vec{\nabla}]$
The spin-current tensor density is usually replaced by the spin-orbit current:

$$\vec{J}_T = \sum_{ijk} \varepsilon_{ijk} \left(\vec{J}_T \right)_{jk} \vec{e}_i$$

In the **relativistic treatment**, one has to deal with four-component Dirac spinor wave functions. Consequently, there are 16 independent bilinear covariants:

$$\overline{\psi}(\vec{r})\Gamma\overline{\psi}(\vec{r})$$

This gives the following local densities:

$\Gamma^s = 1$	scalar density
$\Gamma^{\nu}_{\mu} = \gamma_{\mu}$	vector density
$\Gamma^{t}_{\mu\nu} = (i/2) \Big(\gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu} - \gamma_{\nu} \gamma_{\mu} \Big)$	tensor density
$\Gamma^{\rho} = \gamma_5$	pseudoscalar density
$\Gamma^a_{\mu} = \gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5$	axial density

(which have isoscalar and isovector components.) In most applications, only three densities are required:

$$\begin{split} \rho_{\mu 0}(\vec{r}) &= \sum_{\sigma \sigma' \tau} \rho(\vec{r} \, \sigma \tau; \vec{r} \, \sigma' \, \tau) (\gamma_{\mu})_{\sigma' \sigma} & \text{isoscalar vector density} \\ \rho_{\mu 1}(\vec{r}) &= \sum_{\sigma \sigma' \tau} \rho(\vec{r} \, \sigma \tau; \vec{r} \, \sigma' \, \tau) (\gamma_{\mu})_{\sigma' \sigma} \, \tau & \text{isovector vector density} \\ \rho_{0}(\vec{r}) &= \sum_{\sigma \sigma' \tau} \rho(\vec{r} \, \sigma \tau; \vec{r} \, \sigma' \, \tau) & \text{isoscalar scalar density} \end{split}$$

The sums run over four spinor components. Usually, states from the Dirac sea are neglected (no-sea approximation).

Justification of the Skyrme functional

For simplicity, consider non-local, but velocity-independent interaction (and let us disregard for a moment spin and isospin degrees of freedom):

$$V\left(\vec{r_1}',\vec{r_2}';\vec{r_1},\vec{r_2}\right)$$

The corresponding HF interaction energy contains both direct term and exchange term:

$$E_{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3 r_1' d^3 r_2' d^3 r_1 d^3 r_2 V \left(\vec{r}_1', \vec{r}_2'; \vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2\right) \times \left[\rho\left(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_1'\right) \rho\left(\vec{r}_2, \vec{r}_2'\right) - \rho\left(\vec{r}_2, \vec{r}_1'\right) \rho\left(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2'\right)\right]$$

Let us now consider a local gauge transformation of the HF wave function:

$$|\Psi'\rangle = \exp\left\{i\sum_{j=1}^{A}\phi(\vec{r}_{j})\right\}|\Psi\rangle$$

where ϕ is a **real** function. The corresponding density matrix reads:

$$\rho'(\vec{r},\vec{r}') = \exp\left\{i\left[\phi(\vec{r}) - \phi(\vec{r}')\right]\right\}\rho(\vec{r},\vec{r}')$$

In general, the interaction energy is not invariant with respect to such transformation. However, it is invariant for local interactions:

$$V\left(\vec{r}_{1}',\vec{r}_{2}';\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{2}\right) = \delta\left(\vec{r}_{1}-\vec{r}_{1}'\right)\delta\left(\vec{r}_{2}-\vec{r}_{2}'\right)V\left(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{2}\right)$$

Indeed:

$$E_{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3 r_1 d^3 r_2 V(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) \times \left[\rho(\vec{r}_1) \rho(\vec{r}_2) - \rho(\vec{r}_2, \vec{r}_1) \rho(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) \right] = E'_{\text{int}}$$

see J. Dobaczewski and J. Dudek, Phys. Rev. C52, 1827 (1995)

The local densities transform as follows:

$$\begin{split} \rho' &= \rho \\ \tau' &= \tau + 2\vec{j} \cdot \vec{\nabla}\phi + \rho \left(\vec{\nabla}\phi\right)^2 \\ \vec{s}' &= \vec{s} \\ \vec{j}' &= \vec{j} + \rho \vec{\nabla}\phi \\ \vec{T}' &= \vec{T} + \vec{J}\vec{\nabla}\phi + \vec{s} \left(\vec{\nabla}\phi\right)^2 \\ \vec{J}' &= \vec{J} + \vec{\nabla}\phi \otimes \vec{s} \end{split}$$

Now it is easy to check that the following combinations of local densities are gauge invariant:

$$\rho_T \tau_T - j_T^2$$

$$\vec{s}_T \cdot \vec{T}_T - \vec{J}_T^2$$

$$\rho_T \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{J}_T + \vec{s}_T \cdot \left(\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{j}_T\right)$$

The transformation relations for j and τ make it possible to interpret ϕ in terms of a velocity field:



The Galilean invariance is a special case of the local gauge invariance:



Here, the potential energy is invariant while the kinetic energy acquires a term

$$\Delta E_{kin} = \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2m} A$$
Translational energy
of the boosted system

Invariance under local gauge transformations suggests that the functional can be written as:

Another motivation... Let us consider a local two-body interaction $V(\vec{r} - \vec{r}')$ The HF interaction energy is:

$$E_{\rm int} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3 r d^3 r' V(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \left[\rho(\vec{r}) \rho(\vec{r}') - \rho(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') \rho(\vec{r}', \vec{r}) \right]$$

In practice, the density matrix is strongly peaked around r=r' (cf. TF expression!). Therefore, one can expand it around the mid-point:

$$\rho(\vec{r},\vec{r}') \approx \rho(\vec{q})i\vec{s} \cdot \vec{j}(\vec{q}) + \frac{1}{2}s^{2} \left[\tau(\vec{q}) - \frac{1}{4}\Delta_{\vec{q}}\rho(\vec{q})\right], \quad \vec{q} = \frac{\vec{r} + \vec{r}'}{2}, \quad \vec{s} = \vec{r} - \vec{r}'$$
$$\left|\rho(\vec{r},\vec{r}')\right|^{2} \approx \rho^{2}(\vec{q}) - s^{2} \left[\rho(\vec{q})\tau(\vec{q}) - \vec{j}^{2}(\vec{q}) - \frac{1}{4}\rho(\vec{q})\Delta_{\vec{q}}\rho(\vec{q})\right]$$

The corresponding local exchange energy-density functional is:

$$E_{ex} = \frac{1}{2} \int \left[V_0 \rho^2 + V_2 \left(\rho \tau - \vec{j}^2 - \frac{1}{4} \rho \Delta \rho \right) \right] d^3 r$$
$$V_n = \int d^3 s \, V(\vec{s}) \vec{s}^n$$

The direct term is already written in terms of the local density. Still, for symmetry, it can be expanded around q, and the result is:

$$E_{dir} = \frac{1}{2} \int \left[V_0 \rho^2 + \frac{1}{2} V_2 \rho \Delta \rho \right] d^3 r$$

The actual two-body effective interaction is the τ matrix in the nuclear medium. In principle, it can be determined from microscopic BHF calculations. The matrix is density-dependent and nonlocal. The potential energy becomes:

$$E_{pot} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^{3}x d^{3}x' d^{3}y d^{3}y' \rho(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') \mathcal{T}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}'; \vec{y}, \vec{y}') \rho(\vec{y}, \vec{y}')$$

The Skyrme functional was justified in such a way in, e.g.,

Negele and Vautherin, Phys. Rev. C5, 1472 (1972); Phys. Rev. C11, 1031 (1975)
Campi and Bouyssy, Phys. Lett. 73B, 263 (1978)

However, the parameters derived in such a way do not reproduce the nuclear bulk properties precisely enough. Hence, the density matrix expansion should be used as a guiding principle, but the ectual parameters should be adjusted phenomenologically.

Many insights can also be obtained from the effective field theory, see Puglia, Bhattacharyya, Furnstahl, Nucl.Phys. **A723**, 145 (2003)

One can relate the Skyrme functional to the zero-range momentum-dependent two-body force (Skyrme 1956, 1959)

$$\begin{split} V_{Sk}(1,2) &= t_0 \Big(1 + x_0 \hat{P}_\sigma \Big) \delta(\vec{r}_{12}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} t_1 \Big(1 + x_1 \hat{P}_\sigma \Big) \Big[\hat{k}^{+2} \delta(\vec{r}_{12}) + \delta(\vec{r}_{12}) \hat{k}^2 \Big] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} t_2 \Big(1 + x_2 \hat{P}_\sigma \Big) \Big[\hat{k}^{+} \delta(\vec{r}_{12}) \hat{k} \Big] \\ &+ i W_0 \Big(\vec{\sigma}_1 + \vec{\sigma}_2 \Big) \cdot \hat{k}^{+} \times \delta(\vec{r}_{12}) \hat{k} \\ &+ \frac{1}{6} t_3 \Big(1 + x_3 \hat{P}_\sigma \Big) \delta(\vec{r}_{12}) \rho^\alpha \bigg(\frac{\vec{r}_1 + \vec{r}_2}{2} \bigg) \\ \hat{P}_\sigma &= \frac{1}{2} \Big(1 + \vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2 \Big), \, \hat{k} = \frac{1}{2i} \Big(\vec{\nabla}_1 - \vec{\nabla}_2 \Big) \end{split}$$

zero-range limit of a finite-range force up to second order in derivatives (finite range = momentum dependence!)

two-body spin-orbit

density dependent term (3-body,...) For N=Z even-even nuclei (and α =1), one gets:

$$\mathcal{H}(\vec{r}) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\tau + \frac{3}{8}t_0\rho^2 + \frac{1}{16}t_3\rho^3 + \frac{1}{16}(3t_1 + 5t_2)\rho\tau + \frac{1}{64}(9t_1 - 5t_2)(\vec{\nabla}\rho)^2 - \frac{3}{4}W_0\rho\vec{\nabla}\vec{J} + \frac{1}{32}(t_1 - t_2)\vec{J}^2$$

The binding energy per particle in the infinite homogenous symmetric nuclear matter is

$$\begin{split} \frac{E_0}{A} &= \frac{\mathcal{H}}{\rho} = \frac{3}{5} \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} k_F^2 + \frac{3}{8} t_0 \rho + \frac{1}{16} t_3 \rho^2 + \frac{3}{80} (3t_1 + 5t_2) \rho k_F^2, \quad \rho = \frac{2}{3\pi^2} k_F^3 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left(\frac{E_0}{A} \right) \bigg|_{\rho = \rho_0} &= 0 \quad \text{the saturation property} \\ K &= k_F^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial k_F^2} \left(\frac{E_0}{A} \right) \bigg|_{\rho = \rho_0} \quad \text{the incompressibility coefficient} \end{split}$$

However, the Skyrme functional does not have to be related to an effective two-body force!

Actually, many currently used nuclear energy functionals are not related to a force.

$$h_{Sk}[\rho(\vec{r})] = \frac{\delta E_{Sk}(\rho)}{\delta \rho(\vec{r})}$$

contains the 'rearrangement' terms

Construction of the functional E. Perlinska, S.G. Rohozinski, J. Dobaczewski, and W. Nazarewicz Phys. Rev. C 69, 014316 (2004)

Density distributions of matter, spin, and current cand be used as fields defining new degrees of freedom that describe the nucleus a a composite particle.

$$\mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \tau_0(\boldsymbol{r}) + \sum_{t=0,1}^{\text{p-h density}} (\chi_t(\boldsymbol{r}) + \breve{\chi}_t(\boldsymbol{r})),$$

Most general, second order expansion in densities and their derivatives The coupling terms depend on density (=higher-order contact terms which represent high-energy phenomena that are not explicitly important in the nuclear scale)

$$\begin{split} \chi_{0}(\boldsymbol{r}) &= C_{0}^{\rho}\rho_{0}^{2} + C_{0}^{\Delta\rho}\rho_{0}\Delta\rho_{0} + C_{0}^{\tau}\rho_{0}\tau_{0} + C_{0}^{J0}J_{0}^{2} + C_{0}^{J1}J_{0}^{2} + C_{0}^{J2}\underline{j}_{0}^{2} + C_{0}^{\nabla J}\rho_{0}\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{J}_{0} \\ &+ C_{0}^{s}s_{0}^{2} + C_{0}^{\Delta s}s_{0}\cdot\Delta s_{0} + C_{0}^{T}s_{0}\cdot\boldsymbol{T}_{0} + C_{0}^{j}\boldsymbol{j}_{0}^{2} + C_{0}^{\nabla j}s_{0}\cdot(\nabla\times\boldsymbol{j}_{0}) + C_{0}^{\nabla s}(\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{s}_{0})^{2} + C_{0}^{F}s_{0}\cdot\boldsymbol{F}_{0}, \\ \chi_{1}(\boldsymbol{r}) &= C_{1}^{\rho}\vec{\rho}^{2} + C_{1}^{\Delta\rho}\vec{\rho}\circ\Delta\vec{\rho} + C_{1}^{\tau}\vec{\rho}\circ\vec{\tau} + C_{1}^{J0}\vec{J}^{2} + C_{1}^{J1}\vec{J}^{2} + C_{1}^{J2}\underline{j}^{2} + C_{1}^{\nabla J}\vec{\rho}\circ\nabla\cdot\vec{J} \\ &+ C_{1}^{s}\vec{s}^{2} + C_{1}^{\Delta s}\vec{s}\cdot\circ\Delta\vec{s} + C_{1}^{T}\vec{s}\cdot\circ\vec{T} + C_{1}^{j}\vec{i}^{2} + C_{1}^{\nabla j}\vec{s}\cdot\circ(\nabla\times\vec{i}) + C_{1}^{\nabla s}(\nabla\cdot\vec{s})^{2} + C_{1}^{F}\vec{s}\cdot\circ\vec{F}, \\ \breve{\chi}_{0}(\boldsymbol{r}) &= \breve{C}_{0}^{s}|\breve{s}_{0}|^{2} + \breve{C}_{0}^{\Delta s}\Re(\breve{s}_{0}^{*}\cdot\Delta\vec{s}_{0}) + \breve{C}_{0}^{T}\Re(\breve{s}_{0}^{*}\cdot\breve{T}_{0}) \\ &+ \breve{C}_{0}^{j}|\breve{j}_{0}|^{2} + \breve{C}_{0}^{\Delta s}\Re(\breve{s}_{0}^{*}\cdot\Delta\vec{s}_{0}) + \breve{C}_{0}^{\nabla s}|\nabla\cdot\vec{s}_{0}|^{2} + \breve{C}_{0}^{F}\Re(\breve{s}_{0}^{*}\cdot\breve{F}_{0}), \\ \breve{\chi}_{1}(\boldsymbol{r}) &= \breve{C}_{1}^{\rho}|\vec{\rho}|^{2} + \breve{C}_{1}^{\Delta\rho}\Re(\vec{\rho}^{*}\circ\Delta\vec{\rho}) + \breve{C}_{1}^{\tau}\Re(\vec{\rho}^{*}\circ\vec{\tau}) \\ &+ \breve{C}_{1}^{J0}|\vec{J}|^{2} + \breve{C}_{1}^{J1}|\vec{J}|^{2} + \breve{C}_{1}^{J2}|\vec{j}|^{2} + \breve{C}_{1}^{\nabla J}\Re(\vec{\rho}^{*}\circ\nabla\cdot\vec{J}). \end{split}$$

Nuclear DFT, Local Density Approximation (2)

E. Perlinska, S.G. Rohozinski, J. Dobaczewski, and W. Nazarewicz Phys. Rev. C 69, 014316 (2004)

Symmetries!

TABLE IV: Properties of the local p-h and p-p densities in general case (no conserved symmetries imposed), and in case of the time-reversal, proton-neutron, or both symmetries conserved. The k=0,1,2, or 3 isospin components of densities are complex (C), real (R), imaginary (I), or zero (0), as indicated in the Table.

	general				time-rev.			protneut.				both				
k	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3
ρ_k	R	R	R	R	R	R	0	R	R	0	0	R	R	0	0	R
τ_k	R	R	R	R	R	R	0	R	R	0	0	R	R	0	0	R
J_k	R	R	R	R	R	R	0	R	R	0	0	R	R	0	0	R
s_k	R	R	R	R	0	0	R	0	R	0	0	R	0	0	0	0
T_k	R	R	R	R	0	0	R	0	\mathbf{R}	0	0	R	0	0	0	0
j_k	R	R	R	R	0	0	R	0	R	0	0	R	0	0	0	0
F_k	R	R	R	R	0	0	R	0	R	0	0	R	0	0	0	0
$\breve{\rho}_k$	0	С	С	С	0	R	Ι	R	0	С	С	0	0	R	Ι	0
$\breve{\tau}_k$	0	\mathbf{C}	\mathbf{C}	С	0	R	Ι	R	0	\mathbf{C}	\mathbf{C}	0	0	R	Ι	0
\breve{J}_k	0	\mathbf{C}	\mathbf{C}	С	0	R	Ι	R	0	\mathbf{C}	\mathbf{C}	0	0	R	Ι	0
\breve{s}_k	С	0	0	0	Ι	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
\check{T}_k	С	0	0	0	Ι	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
\check{j}_k	С	0	0	0	Ι	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$ec{F}_k$	С	0	0	0	Ι	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Relativistic mean field functionals

- The depth of the mean-field potential (~50 MeV) is the result of the cancellation between a long-range attractive scalar field and a short-range repulsive vector field
- The strong spin-orbit interaction emerges naturally
- Saturation properties of nuclear matter are explained
- The many-body state is a product wave function built on four-component Dirac spinors.
- The motion of the nucleons is governed by the Dirac equation
- Zero-range forces (point coupling models) and finite-range interactions are used
 - In point-coupling approaches, single-particle potentials are functions of relativistic densities
 - ☆In finite-range models, the effective interaction is introduced through Klein-Gordon equations for the meson fields, which are coupled to the Dirac equation for the nucleons. Nucleon densities act as source terms in the KG equations, and mesonic fields enter the Dirac equations.

Serot and Walecka, Adv. Nucl. Phys. 16, 1 (1986); Ring, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 37, 193 (1996).

The basic mesons of the RMF:

- σ -field, J^{π}=0⁺, T=0; medium-range attraction
- ω -field, J^{π}=1⁻, T=0; short-range repulsion
- ρ -field, J^{π}=1⁻, T=1; responsible for the isospin channel

The pion is absent; it has $J^{\pi}=0^-$, T=1, hence it cannot contribute on the Hartree level (the associate fields violate parity and time reversal)



EOS and effective forces











Shell structure in normal, superheavy, and hyperheavy nuclei

¹³²Sn

310126

```
<sup>494</sup>186
```



M.Bender, W.Nazarewicz, and P.-G.Reinhard, Phys. Lett. B515, 42 (2001)



M.Bender, W.Nazarewicz, and P.-G.Reinhard, Phys. Lett. B515, 42 (2001)

Shell structure in normal, superheavy, and hyperheavy nuclei

HFB: Nuclear density



Phys. Rev. C53, 2809 (1996)

HFB: Pairing density



Phys. Rev. C53, 2809 (1996)

HFB: Average potential



Phys. Rev. C53, 2809 (1996)

HFB: Average pairing potential



Phys. Rev. C53, 2809 (1996)





New shell model



Emergence of new shells Quenching of the old shells Independent-particle motion less pronounced... continuum * collective skin modes

* clustering in the skin







Microscopic Mass Table

M.V. Stoitsov et al., nucl-th/0406075 J. Dobaczewski et al., nucl-th/040407

Deviation from experiment



S. Cwiok, P.H. Heenen, W. Nazarewicz







Neutron & proton density distributions

HFB+SkP, J. Dobaczewski et al., Phys. Rev. C 53, 2809 (1996)



S. Cwiok, P.H. Heenen, W. Nazarewicz



Helm model

R.H. Helm, Phys. Rev. 104, 1466 (1956)

How to characterize nucleonic density?

$$\begin{split} R_{\rm rms} &\equiv \sqrt{\langle r^2 \rangle} = \sqrt{\frac{\int d^3 \mathbf{r} \, r^2 \rho(\mathbf{r})}{\int d^3 \mathbf{r} \, \rho(\mathbf{r})}} \\ F(\mathbf{q}) &\equiv \int e^{i \mathbf{q} \mathbf{r}} \rho(\mathbf{r}) d^3 \mathbf{r} \qquad \text{form factor} \\ F(q) &= \int j_0(qr) \rho(r) r^2 dr \qquad \text{spherical shape} \end{split}$$

In the Helm model, nucleonic density is approximated by a convolution of a sharp-surface density with radius R_0 with the Gaussian profile:

$$\rho^{(\mathrm{H})}(\mathbf{r}) = \int d^{3}\mathbf{r}' f_{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')\rho_{0}\Theta(R_{0} - |\mathbf{r}'|)$$

diffraction radius
$$f_{\mathrm{G}}(r) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}\sigma^{3}}e^{-\frac{r^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}} \qquad \text{surface thickness}$$
$$\rho_{0} = \frac{3N}{4\pi R_{0}^{3}}$$

Helm model (cont.)

$$F^{(\mathrm{H})}(q) = \frac{3}{R_0 q} j_1(qR_0) e^{-\frac{\sigma^2 q^2}{2}}$$

The first zero of $F^{H}(q)$ is uniquely related to the radius parameter R_0









S. Mizutori et al., Phys. Rev. C61, 044326 (2000)




Neutron Halo

S. Mizutori et al., Phys. Rev. C61, 044326 (2000)



Halo is strongly influenced by pairing!



S. Mizutori et al., Phys. Rev. C61, 044326 (2000)

Generator Coordinate Method (GCM)



Hamiltonian kernel

Norm kernel

 $\mathcal{H}f = E\mathcal{N}f$

Diagonalization of the Hamiltonian in the nonorthogonal "basis" of the generator states. The norm kernel cannot be inverted since it has zero eigenvalues!

Symmetric orthogonalization

$$\int dQ' \mathcal{N}(Q,Q') u_k(Q') = n_k u_k(Q)$$

- Since \mathcal{N} is a norm, its eigenvalues are non-negative $(n_k \ge 0)$
- The functions u_k form a complete set in the space of weight functions f(Q)

$$\begin{split} \sum_{k} u_{k}(Q)u_{k}^{*}(Q') &= \delta(Q - Q'); \quad \int dQu_{k}^{*}(Q)u_{k'}(Q) = \delta_{kk'} \\ \mathcal{N} &= \mathcal{N}^{1/2} \cdot \mathcal{N}^{1/2} \quad \blacksquare \qquad \searrow \quad \mathcal{N}^{1/2}(Q,Q') = \sum_{k} u_{k}(Q)\sqrt{n_{k}}u_{k}^{*}(Q') \\ \mathcal{N}^{1/2}\mathcal{H}\mathcal{N}^{1/2}g &= Eg, \quad g = \mathcal{N}^{-1/2}f \end{split}$$

- Again, we have to deal with zero eigenvalue states
- Fortunately, the corresponding states lead to GCM states f₀ with zero norm!

$$\left\langle \Psi \middle| \Psi \right\rangle = \int dQ dQ' f_0^*(Q) \mathcal{N}(Q, Q') f_0(Q') = 0$$

• Consequently, we can restrict ourselves to states with $n_k > 0$.

Let us introduce the basis of **natural states**:

$$|k\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_k}} \int u_k(Q) |\Phi(Q)\rangle dQ$$

- Those states are orthogonal
- They span a sub Hilbert space called "collective" subspace (the smallest Hilbert space containing all the generating states

$$P_{C} = \sum_{k,n_{k}\neq 0} |k\rangle \langle k| \qquad \sum_{k'} \langle k|\hat{H}|k'\rangle g_{k'} = Eg_{k'}$$

$$\begin{split} |\Psi\rangle &= \sum_{k,n_k \neq 0} g_k |k\rangle & \text{GCM eigenstates} \\ f(Q) &= \sum_{k,n_k \neq 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_k}} g_k u_k(Q) & \text{weight functions} \\ g(Q) &= \sum_{k,n_k \neq 0} g_k u_k(Q) & \text{collective vawe functions} \end{split}$$

$$\int |g(Q)|^2 dQ = \sum_k |g_k|^2 = 1, \text{ but } \int |f(Q)|^2 dQ = \sum_k \frac{1}{n_k} |g_k|^2 \neq 1$$

- Microscopic
- Based on variational principle
- Allows for a coupling between intrinsic states
- Dynamics determined by the choice of Q's
- A useful point for further approximations (e.g., GOA, CSE, etc.)
- Transformation to the lab system still necessary, unless the Euler (or gauge) angles are taken as collective coordinates

An example: projected GCM+HF+BCS



The Gaussian Overlap Approximation

$$q = \frac{Q+Q'}{2}, \quad s = Q-Q'$$
$$\mathcal{N}(Q,Q') = \mathcal{N}\left(q + \frac{s}{2}, q - \frac{s}{2}\right) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma(q)s^2}$$

For small values of s, one obtains:

$$\begin{split} \gamma(q) &\approx \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \Big(\left\langle q \left| \hat{P}^2 \right| q \right\rangle - \left\langle q \left| \hat{P} \right| q \right\rangle^2 \Big) \\ \hat{P} &= i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \end{split} \quad \text{Collective momentum operator} \end{split}$$

If the fluctuation in collective momentum is large, one ends up with the Gaussian shape. (Well justified for heavy systems.)

$$\mathcal{H}_{coll} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \sqrt{\gamma} \frac{\hbar^2}{2M(q)} \frac{\partial}{\partial q} + V(q) \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{Collective} \\ \text{Hamiltonian} \end{array}$$

$$M(q)$$
 Collective mass (collective inertia)

$$V(q) = \langle q | \hat{H} | q \rangle - \varepsilon_{zpe}(q)$$
Collective potential
$$\varepsilon_{zpe}(q)$$
Zero-point energy



An example: GOA+HF(B) Gogny calculations

Random Phase Approximation

Small harmonic oscillations about the stationary mean-field ground state Not based on a variational principle

$$\hat{H}|\nu\rangle = E_{\nu}|\nu\rangle$$

$$|\nu\rangle = \hat{Q}_{\nu}^{+}|\nu\rangle \text{ and } \hat{Q}_{\nu}|0\rangle = 0$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{H}, \hat{Q}_{\nu}^{+} \end{bmatrix} |0\rangle = (E_{\nu} - E_{0})\hat{Q}_{\nu}^{+}|0\rangle$$

A) Tamm-Dancoff approximation



B) Random Phase approximation

$$|0\rangle = |RPA\rangle, \quad \hat{Q}_{\nu}^{+} = \sum_{ph} X_{ph}^{\nu} a_{p}^{+} a_{h} - \sum_{ph} Y_{ph}^{\nu} a_{h}^{+} a_{p}, \quad \hat{Q}_{\nu} |RPA\rangle = 0$$

RPA ground state contains correlations beyond HF Usually, one assumes that the RPA state does not differ much from the HF state, at least when commutators are computed ("quasi-boson approximation")

$$\langle RPA \begin{bmatrix} a_i^* a_n, [\hat{H}, Q_{\nu}^*] \end{bmatrix} | RPA \rangle = \hbar \Omega_{\nu} \langle RPA \begin{bmatrix} a_i^* a_n, Q_{\nu}^* \end{bmatrix} RPA \rangle$$

$$\langle RPA \begin{bmatrix} a_n^* a_i, [\hat{H}, Q_{\nu}^*] \end{bmatrix} | RPA \rangle = \hbar \Omega_{\nu} \langle RPA \begin{bmatrix} a_n^* a_i, Q_{\nu}^* \end{bmatrix} RPA \rangle$$

Random Phase Approximation and symmetry breaking



If calculations are carried out precisely, and the self-consistency is maintained, the spurious solutions separate out. They are orthogonal to other excitations, they lie at zero excitation energy, and they carry spurious strength.

Another way of deriving the RPA equations: the linear response theory

Here, the starting point is TDHF. We investigate the influence of an external, time-dependent field:

$$F(t) = Fe^{-i\omega t} + F^{+}e^{i\omega t}, \quad F(t) = \sum_{kl} f_{kl}(t)a_{k}^{+}a_{l}$$

F is a one-body operator; the field is weak $i\hbar\dot{\rho} = \begin{bmatrix} h(\rho) + f(t), \rho \end{bmatrix}$ TDHF equation $\rho^2 = \rho$ we are dealing with a time-dependent Slater determinant $\rho(t) = \rho^{(0)} + \delta\rho(t), \quad \delta\rho(t) = \rho^{(1)}e^{-i\omega t} + \rho^{(1)+}e^{i\omega t}$ $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & A^* \end{pmatrix} - \hbar\omega \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \begin{pmatrix} \rho^{(1)ph} \\ \rho^{(1)hp} \end{pmatrix} = -\begin{pmatrix} f^{ph} \\ f^{hp} \end{pmatrix}$ $A_{nimj} = (\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_i)\delta_{mn}\delta_{ij} + \frac{\partial h_{ni}}{\partial \rho_{nj}}$ $B_{nimj} = \frac{\partial h_{ni}}{\partial \rho_{jm}}$ $\tilde{v}_{psqr} = \frac{\partial h_{pq}}{\partial \rho_{rs}} = \frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial \rho_{pq} \partial \rho_{rs}}$ Also valid for densitydependent forces and density functionals!

A nice connection with the HF theory: the average nuclear potential oscillates around the stationary value. In the limit of small external force, we obtain the normal modes of the system. Hence RPA is the small amplitude limit of the TDHF!

> Inclusion of pairing: Q(uasiparticle)RPA Inclusion of continuum: C(ontinuum)RPA

RPA calculations: examples

S. Shlomo ,A.I. Sanzhur Phys.Rev. C65, 044310 (2002)

Agrawal, Shlomo, Sanzhur Phys.Rev. C67, 034314 (2003)



QRPA+HFB+SkO'



Engel et al. Phys.Rev. C60, 014302 (1999)



