CNS Summer School, Univ. of Tokyo, at Wako campus of RIKEN, Aug. 18-23, 2005

# Nuclear Forces - Lecture 4 -

R. Machleidt University of Idaho

# From last Lecture

# The nuclear force in the light of QCD

# QCD-based models ("quark models")

### Conclusion

"QCD-inspired" models are not the solution.

If we want to do something that is truly QCD based, then we have to try something totally different.

To get ready for this new approach, let's take a close look at the properties of lowenergy QCD.

# The symmetries of low-energy QCD

QCD in the u/d sector has approximate chiral symmetry; but this symmetry is broken in two ways:

• explicitly broken,

because the u and d quark masses are not exactly zero;

• spontaneously broken:  $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \cong SU(2)_V \times SU(2)_A \longrightarrow SU(2)_V$ 

i.e., in the QCD ground state, axial symmetry is broken, while isospin symmetry is intact.

• There exist 3 Goldstone bosons: the pions

# Lecture 4: Effective Field Theory (EFT) and nuclear forces

- An EFT for low-energy QCD: Why and how?
- Power counting
- The hierarchy of nuclear forces
- The new generation of chiral NN potentials
- Many-body forces
- First applications in nuclear structure
- Conclusions

# We want to describe the low-energy scenario of QCD (that we studied in Lecture 3) by an Effective Field Theory (EFT). Why and how?

QCD at low energy is non-perturbative and, therefore, not solvable analytically in terms of the "fundamental" degrees of freedom (dof), quarks and gluons.

We need to use dof which interact such that a perturbative approach is possible. Goldstone bosons typically interact weakly. Therefore, let's use pions and nucleons.

To ensure the connection with QCD, we must observe the same symmetries as low-energy QCD, particularly, spontaneously broken chiral symmetry.

Such a theory is called an Effective Field Theory (EFT).

# Weinberg's "Folk Theorem"

If one writes down the most general possible Langrangian, including all terms consistent with assumed symmetry principles, and then calculates matrix elements with this Langrangian to any given order of perturbation theory, the result will simply be the most general possible S-matrix consistent with analyticity, perturbative unitarity, cluster decomposition, and the assumed symmetry principles.

Physica 96A, 327 (1979)

# So, for doing EFT, we have to take the following steps:

- Write down the most general Lagrangian including all terms consistent with the assumed symmetries, particularly, spontaneously broken chiral symmetry. (Note: There will be infinitely many terms.)
- Calculate Feynman diagrams. (Note: There will be infinitely many diagrams.)
- Find a scheme for assessing the importance of the various diagrams

(because we cannot calculate infinitely many diagrams).

# The organizational scheme

# "Power Counting"

Organize the contributions in terms of  $\left(\frac{Q}{\Lambda}\right)^{\nu}$ ;

where Q denotes a momentum (derivative) or a pion mass  $(m_{\pi})$ ;  $\Lambda$  is the chiral symmetry breaking scale,  $\Lambda \approx 1$  GeV; and  $\nu \ge 0$ .

# Chiral Perturbation Theory (ChPT)

# The Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\pi\pi} + \mathcal{L}_{\pi N} + \mathcal{L}_{NN}$$

with

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi\pi} = \mathcal{L}_{\pi\pi}^{(2)} + \mathcal{L}_{\pi\pi}^{(4)} + \dots$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi N} = \mathcal{L}_{\pi N}^{(1)} + \mathcal{L}_{\pi N}^{(2)} + \mathcal{L}_{\pi N}^{(3)} + \dots$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{NN} = \mathcal{L}_{NN}^{(0)} + \mathcal{L}_{NN}^{(2)} + \mathcal{L}_{NN}^{(4)} + \dots$$

where the superscript refers to the number of derivaties or pion mass insertions.

# The pi-N Lagrangian with one derivative (=lowest order or "leading" order)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi N}^{(I)} = \bar{\Psi}_N \left( i \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} - M_N + \frac{g_A}{2} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_5 u_{\mu} \right) \Psi_N$$

with

$$D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} + \Gamma_{\mu}$$
  

$$\Gamma_{\mu} = (\xi^{\dagger} \partial_{\mu} \xi + \xi \partial_{\mu} \xi^{\dagger})/2 \approx i \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\pi} \times \partial_{\mu} \boldsymbol{\pi})/4 f_{\pi}^{2} + \dots$$
  

$$u_{\mu} = i (\xi^{\dagger} \partial_{\mu} \xi - \xi \partial_{\mu} \xi^{\dagger}) \approx -\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \partial_{\mu} \boldsymbol{\pi}/f_{\pi} + \dots$$
  

$$U = \xi \xi$$
  

$$\xi = e^{i \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}/2 f_{\pi}} \approx 1 + \frac{i \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}}{2 f_{\pi}} - \frac{\boldsymbol{\pi}^{2}}{8 f_{\pi}^{2}} + \dots$$

and

$$f_{\pi} = 92.4 \text{ MeV}$$
  
 $g_A = g_{\pi NN} f_{\pi}/M_N = 1.29$ 

equivalent to 
$$g_{\pi NN}^2/4\pi = 13.67$$

#### R. Machleidt

Nuclear Forces - Lecture 4 CNS Summer School 2005 Non-linear realization of chiral symmetry; Callan, Coleman, Wess, and Zumino, PR 177, 2247 (1968).

## pi-N Lagrangian, cont'd

The  $M_N$  term is a problem.

 $\Rightarrow$  Perform a  $1/M_N$  expansion to remove it. "Heavy Baryon Chiral Perturbation Theory"

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi N}^{(I)} = \bar{\Psi}_N \left( i \gamma^\mu D_\mu - M_N + \frac{g_A}{2} \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 u_\mu \right) \Psi_N$$
  
 
$$\approx \mathcal{L}_{\pi N}^{(1)} + \mathcal{L}_{\pi N, M_N}^{(2)} + \mathcal{L}_{\pi N, M_N}^{(3)} + \dots$$

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\pi N}^{(1)} &= ar{N} \left( egin{aligned} &i D_0 & - rac{g_A}{2} & ec{\sigma} \cdot ec{u} \end{array} 
ight) N \ &pprox ar{N} \left[ i \partial_0 - rac{1}{4 f_\pi^2} \, m{ au} \cdot (m{\pi} imes \partial_0 m{\pi}) - rac{g_A}{2 f_\pi} \, m{ au} \cdot (ec{\sigma} \cdot ec{
abla}) m{\pi} 
ight] N + ... \end{aligned}$$



### pi-N Lagrangian with two derivatives ("next-to-leading" order)

# The Langrangian, cont'd

• The pi-pi Lagrangian at order two (leading order):

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi\pi}^{(2)} = \frac{f_{\pi}^2}{4} \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \partial_{\mu} U \partial^{\mu} U^{\dagger} + m_{\pi}^2 \left( U + U^{\dagger} \right) \right]$$

• The N-N Lagrangian at order zero (leading order):

$$\mathcal{L}_{NN}^{(0)} = -\frac{1}{2} C_S \bar{N} N \bar{N} N - \frac{1}{2} C_T \bar{N} \vec{\sigma} N \bar{N} \vec{\sigma} N$$

"Contact terms"

R. Machleidt

#### What are contact terms?

Consider the contribution from the exchange of a heavy meson



#### Contact terms take care of the short range contributions.

R. Machleidt

# **The Diagrams**

Power counting for two-nucleon diagrams:

$$v = 2 \times Loops + \sum_{all \ vertices \ j} \Delta_j$$

with

$$\Delta_j = d_j + \frac{n_j}{2} - 2 \ge 0$$

R. Machleidt



# Explicit mathematical expressions for some of the diagrams just shown

#### **Operators that occur in the expressions, and notation:**

$$egin{aligned} V(ec{p}\ ',ec{p}) &= & V_C \ + au_1 \cdot au_2 \, W_C \ &+ \left[ \, V_S \ + au_1 \cdot au_2 \, W_S \ 
ight] \, ec{\sigma}_1 \cdot ec{\sigma}_2 \ &+ \left[ \, V_T \ + au_1 \cdot au_2 \, W_T \ 
ight] \, ec{\sigma}_1 \cdot ec{q} \, ec{\sigma}_2 \cdot ec{q} \ &+ \left[ \, V_{LS} + au_1 \cdot au_2 \, W_{LS} 
ight] \, \left( - i ec{S} \cdot (ec{q} imes ec{k}) \, 
ight) \ &+ \left[ \, V_{\sigma L} + au_1 \cdot au_2 \, W_{\sigma L} 
ight] \, ec{\sigma}_1 \cdot (ec{q} imes ec{k}) \, ec{\sigma}_2 \cdot (ec{q} imes ec{k}) \, ec{
ho}_2 \ &+ \left[ \, V_{\sigma L} + au_1 \cdot au_2 \, W_{\sigma L} 
ight] \, ec{\sigma}_1 \cdot (ec{q} imes ec{k}) \, ec{\sigma}_2 \cdot (ec{q} imes ec{k}) \, ec{
ho}_2 \ &+ \left[ \, V_{\sigma L} + au_1 \cdot au_2 \, W_{\sigma L} 
ight] \, ec{\sigma}_1 \cdot (ec{q} imes ec{k}) \, ec{\sigma}_2 \cdot (ec{q} imes ec{k}) \, ec{
ho}_2 \ &+ \left[ \, V_{\sigma L} + au_1 \cdot au_2 \, W_{\sigma L} 
ight] \, ec{\sigma}_1 \cdot (ec{q} imes ec{k}) \, ec{\sigma}_2 \cdot (ec{q} imes ec{k}) \, ec{
ho}_2 \ &+ \left[ \, V_{\sigma L} + au_1 \cdot au_2 \, W_{\sigma L} 
ight] \, ec{\sigma}_1 \cdot (ec{q} imes ec{k}) \, ec{\sigma}_2 \cdot (ec{q} imes ec{k}) \, ec{
ho}_2 \ &+ \left[ \, V_{\sigma L} + au_1 \cdot au_2 \, W_{\sigma L} 
ight] \, ec{\sigma}_1 \cdot ec{q} imes ec{k} \ &+ \left[ \, V_{\sigma L} \, ec{k} \, ec$$

with  $\vec{p}'$  and  $\vec{p}$  the final and initial nucleon momenta in the center-of-mass (CM) frame, respectively, and

 $\vec{q} \equiv \vec{p}' - \vec{p}$  the momentum transfer  $\vec{k} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\vec{p}' + \vec{p})$  the average momentum  $\vec{S} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\vec{\sigma}_1 + \vec{\sigma}_2)$  the total spin

# Explicit expressions, cont'd. Leading order (LO)

**Pion-exchange contribution** 

**Contact contributions** 



Static, non-relativistic

One-Pion-Exchange (OPE)

$$V_{
m OPE} \equiv - rac{g_A^2}{4 f_\pi^2} rac{ec{\sigma}_1 \cdot ec{q} \; ec{\sigma}_2 \cdot ec{q}}{q^2 + m_\pi^2} \, au_1 \cdot au_2 \, .$$

 $V^{(0)}(\vec{p'}, \vec{p}) = C_S + C_T \vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2$ 

#### 2 parameters

R. Machleidt

# Explicit expressions, cont'd. Next-to-Leading order (NLO)

#### **Pion-exchange contributions**

"leading order  $2\pi$  exchange"

$$egin{aligned} W_C &=& -rac{L(q)}{384\pi^2 f_\pi^4} \left[ 4m_\pi^2 (5g_A^4 - 4g_A^2 - 1) + q^2 (23g_A^4 - 10g_A^2 - 1) + rac{48g_A^4 m_\pi^4}{w^2} 
ight] \ V_T &=& -rac{1}{q^2} V_S = -rac{3g_A^4 L(q)}{64\pi^2 f_\pi^4} \end{aligned}$$

 $rac{w+q}{2m_\pi}$ 

where

$$L(q)\equiv rac{w}{q}\ln$$

and

$$w\equiv \sqrt{4m_\pi^2+q^2}$$

#### R. Machleidt

Nuclear Forces - Lecture 4 CNS Summer School 2005

#### **Contact contributions**



$$egin{aligned} & C^{(2)}(ec{p'},ec{p}) \ = \ C_1 q^2 + C_2 k^2 \ & + \ \left(C_3 q^2 + C_4 k^2
ight)ec{\sigma}_1 \cdot ec{\sigma}_2 \ & + \ C_5 \left(-iec{S} \cdot (ec{q} imes ec{k})
ight) \ & + \ C_6 (ec{\sigma}_1 \cdot ec{q}) \ & (ec{\sigma}_2 \cdot ec{q}) \ & + \ C_7 (ec{\sigma}_1 \cdot ec{k}) \left(ec{\sigma}_2 \cdot ec{k}
ight) \end{aligned}$$

#### 7 parameters

### Explicit expressions, cont'd. Next-to-Next-to-Leading order (NNLO)

#### **Pion-exchange contributions**





"sub-leading  $2\pi$  exchange"

$$\begin{aligned} V_{C} &= \frac{3g_{A}^{2}}{16\pi f_{\pi}^{4}} \left\{ \frac{g_{A}^{2}m_{\pi}^{5}}{16M_{N}w^{2}} - \left[ 2m_{\pi}^{2}(2c_{1}-c_{3}) - q^{2}\left(c_{3}+\frac{3g_{A}^{2}}{16M_{N}}\right) \right] \bar{w}^{2}A(q) \right\} \\ W_{C} &= \frac{g_{A}^{2}}{128\pi M_{N}f_{\pi}^{4}} \left\{ 3g_{A}^{2}m_{\pi}^{5}w^{-2} - \left[ 4m_{\pi}^{2}+2q^{2}-g_{A}^{2}(4m_{\pi}^{2}+3q^{2}) \right] \bar{w}^{2}A(q) \right\} \\ V_{T} &= -\frac{1}{q^{2}}V_{S} = \frac{9g_{A}^{4}\bar{w}^{2}A(q)}{512\pi M_{N}f_{\pi}^{4}} \\ W_{T} &= -\frac{1}{q^{2}}W_{S} = -\frac{g_{A}^{2}A(q)}{32\pi f_{\pi}^{4}} \left[ \left( c_{4}+\frac{1}{4M_{N}} \right) w^{2} - \frac{g_{A}^{2}}{8M_{N}} (10m_{\pi}^{2}+3q^{2}) \right] \\ V_{LS} &= \frac{3g_{A}^{4}\bar{w}^{2}A(q)}{32\pi M_{N}f_{\pi}^{4}} \\ W_{LS} &= \frac{g_{A}^{2}(1-g_{A}^{2})}{32\pi M_{N}f_{\pi}^{4}} w^{2}A(q) \\ \\ R. Mac \qquad \text{with} \qquad A(q) \equiv \frac{1}{2q} \arctan \frac{q}{2m_{\pi}} \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{w} \equiv \sqrt{2m_{\pi}^{2}+q^{2}} \qquad \text{cs - Lecture 4} \\ CNS Summer School 2005 \end{aligned}$$

#### **Contact contributions**

#### --- None ----

# Explicit expressions, cont'd. Next-to-Next-to-Leading order (NNNLO)

### **Pion-exchange contributions**

--- Two-pion exchange ---One-Loop Two-Loop

--- Three-pion exchange ---

negligible

(Kaiser)



R. Machielui

Nuclear Forces - Lecture 4 CNS Summer School 2005

### **Contact contributions**



$$\begin{split} \chi^{(4)}(ec{p'},ec{p}) &= D_1 q^4 + D_2 k^4 + D_3 q^2 k^2 + D_4 (ec{q} imes ec{k})^2 \ &+ \left( D_5 q^4 + D_6 k^4 + D_7 q^2 k^2 + D_8 (ec{q} imes ec{k})^2 
ight) ec{\sigma}_1 \cdot ec{\sigma}_2 \ &+ \left( D_9 q^2 + D_{10} k^2 
ight) \left( -i ec{S} \cdot (ec{q} imes ec{k}) 
ight) \ &+ \left( D_{11} q^2 + D_{12} k^2 
ight) (ec{\sigma}_1 \cdot ec{q}) (ec{\sigma}_2 \cdot ec{q}) \ &+ \left( D_{13} q^2 + D_{14} k^2 
ight) (ec{\sigma}_1 \cdot ec{k}) (ec{\sigma}_2 \cdot ec{k}) \ &+ D_{15} \left( ec{\sigma}_1 \cdot (ec{q} imes ec{k}) ec{\sigma}_2 \cdot (ec{q} imes ec{k}) 
ight) \end{split}$$

#### **15 parameters**

### Note

The Feynman diagrams shown define the NN-NN amplitude, calculated perturbatively. This is fine, e.g., for the calculation of NN scattering in peripheral partial waves.

However: The NN system has a bound state, the deuteron, and the NN scattering lengths in S-waves are large. This can be obtained only in a non-perturbative calculation.

What do we do about this?

Define a potential that is applied in the usual (non-perturbative) way in which we always use potentials; namely, insert the potential in a Schroedinger or Lippmann-Schwinger equation (to obtain a bound state, a scattering length, a scattering amplitude, ...).

And how do we define this potential? As the sum of the perturbative diagrams.

# **Defining the potential**

 $ar{V}(ec{p'},ec{p}) \equiv \left\{egin{array}{c} ext{sum of irreducible} \ \pi+2\pi ext{ diagrams} \end{array}
ight\} + ext{ contacts}$ 

Define

$$V(ec{p'},ec{p}) \equiv igg | rac{M}{E_{p'}} \, ar{V}(ec{p'},ec{p}) \, igg | rac{M}{E_p} \, igg |$$

and apply in Lippmann-Schwinger Equation

$$T(ec{p'},ec{p}) = V(ec{p'},ec{p}) + \int d^3 p'' V(ec{p'},ec{p''}) rac{M}{p^2 - p''^2 + i\epsilon} T(ec{p''},ec{p})$$



Iteration of V in the LS Eq. (ladder-diagram loops) requires cutting V for high momenta, therefore

$$egin{aligned} V(ec{p'},ec{p}) &\longmapsto V(ec{p'},ec{p}) \; e^{-(p'/\Lambda)^{2n}} \; e^{-(p/\Lambda)^{2n}} \ &pprox V(ec{p'},ec{p}) \left\{ 1 - \left[ \left( rac{p'}{\Lambda} 
ight)^{2n} + \left( rac{p}{\Lambda} 
ight)^{2n} 
ight] + ... 
ight\} \end{aligned}$$

 $\Lambda = 0.5~{
m GeV}$ 

R. Machleidt

# Parameters

- pi-pi Lagrangian:  $\mathcal{L}_{\pi\pi}^{(2)} = \frac{f_{\pi}^2}{4} \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \partial_{\mu} U \partial^{\mu} U^{\dagger} + m_{\pi}^2 \left( U + U^{\dagger} \right) \right];$  $m_{\pi} \text{ and } f_{\pi} \text{ are fixed } (f_{\pi} = 92.4 \text{ MeV}).$  No free parameters.
- pi-N Lagrangians:  $\mathcal{L}_{\pi N}^{(1)} = \bar{N} \left[ i\partial_0 \frac{1}{4f_{\pi}^2} \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\pi} \times \partial_0 \boldsymbol{\pi}) \frac{g_A}{2f_{\pi}} \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \boldsymbol{\pi} \right] N$   $g_A = 1.29$ , no free parameters.  $\mathcal{L}_{\pi N}^{(2)}$ : 4 parameters.  $\mathcal{L}_{\pi N}^{(3)}$ : 4 parameters. } In principal fixed by pi-N data; but cf. Table on next slide.
- N-N Lagrangian ("Contacts"): 2+7+15=24 essentially free parameters.

The free parameters are used to adjust the potential to the empirical NN phase shifts and data.

# Parameters, cont'd pi-N Lagrangian parameters

TABLE I. Low-energy constants applied in the N<sup>3</sup>LO NN potential (column 'NN'). The  $c_i$  belong to the dimension-two  $\pi N$  Lagrangian and are in units of GeV<sup>-1</sup>, while the  $\bar{d}_i$  are associated with the dimension-three Lagrangian and are in units of GeV<sup>-2</sup>. The column ' $\pi N$ ' shows values determined from  $\pi N$  data.

10 50	NN	$\pi N$
$(2)$ $\int c_1$	-0.81	$-0.81\pm0.15^a$
$\int (2) \cdot dc_2 \cdot dc_2$	2.80	$3.28\pm0.23^b$
$\sim_{\pi N}$ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-3.20	$-4.69\pm1.34^a$
$c_4$	5.40	$3.40\pm0.04^a$
$(a)$ $\bar{d}_1 + \bar{d}_2$	3.06	$3.06\pm0.21^b$
$c^{(3)}$ . $\bar{d}_3$	-3.27	$-3.27\pm0.73^b$
$\sim_{\pi N} \cdot 1  \bar{d}_5$	0.45	$0.45\pm0.42^b$
$ar{d}_{14}-ar{d}_{15}$	-5.65	$-5.65\pm0.41^b$

	101 0	ne <i>np</i> potem	lai	
	Nijmegen	CD-Bonn	NLO	N <sup>3</sup> LO
	PWA93	"high	$Q^2$	$oldsymbol{Q}^4$
		precision"	(NNLO)	-
$^{1}S_{0}$	3	4	2	4
${}^3S_1$	3	4	<b>2</b>	4
${}^3S_1$ - ${}^3D_1$	<b>2</b>	2	1	3
${}^{1}P_{1}$	3	3	1	<b>2</b>
${}^{3}P_{0}$	3	<b>2</b>	1	<b>2</b>
${}^{3}P_{1}$	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	1	<b>2</b>
${}^3P_2$	3	3	1	<b>2</b>
${}^{3}P_{2}$ - ${}^{3}F_{2}$	<b>2</b>	1	0	1
$^{-1}D_2$	2	3	0	1
$^{3}D_{1}$	<b>2</b>	1	0	1
$^{3}D_{2}$	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	0	1
$^{3}D_{3}$	1	<b>2</b>	0	1
${}^{3}D_{3} - {}^{3}G_{3}$	; 1	0	0	0
$^{-1}F_3$	1	1	0	0
${}^3F_2$	1	<b>2</b>	0	0
${}^3F_3$	1	<b>2</b>	0	0
${}^3F_4$	<b>2</b>	1	0	0
${}^{3}F_{4}$ - ${}^{3}H_{4}$	0	0	0	0
${}^1G_4$	1	0	0	0
${}^3G_3$	0	1	0	0
${}^3G_4$	0	1	0	0
$^{3}G_{5}$	0	1	0	0
Total	35	38	9	<b>24</b>

NUMBER OF PARAMETERS

### Parameters, cont'd. # of contact parameters compared to phenomenological fits

# Phase shifts up to 300 MeV

Red Line: N3LO Potential by Entem & Machleidt, PRC 68, 041001 (2003). Green dashed line: N2LO Potential, and green dotted line: NLO Potential by Epelbaum et al., Eur. Phys. J. A15, 543 (2002).



**CNS Summer School 2005** 

3

#### Phase shifts, cont'd



### $\chi^2/{ m datum}$ for the reproduction of the

#### 1999np database

# of data	N <sup>3</sup> LO	NNLO	NLO	AV18
1058	1.06	1.71	5.20	0.95
501	1.08	12.9	49.3	1.10
843	1.15	19.2	68.3	1.11
2402	1.10	10.1	36.2	1.04
	# of data 1058 501 843 2402	# of data       N <sup>3</sup> LO         1058       1.06         501       1.08         843       1.15         2402       1.100	# of dataN³LONNLO10581.061.715011.0812.98431.1519.224021.1010.1	# of dataN³LONNLONLO10581.061.715.205011.0812.949.38431.1519.268.324021.1010.136.2

N3LO Potential by Entem & Machleidt, PRC 68, 041001 (2003). N2LO and NLO Potential by Epelbaum et al., Eur. Phys. J. A15, 543 (2002).

### **Deuteron Properties**

	N3LO	CD-Bonn	AV18	Empirical
Binding energy $B_d$ (MeV)	2.224575	2.224575	2.224575	2.224575(9)
Asymptotic $S$ state $A_S$ (fm <sup>-1/2</sup> )	0.8843	0.8846	0.8850	0.8846(9)
Asymptotic $D/S$ state $\eta$	0.0256	0.0256	0.0250	0.0256(4)
Matter radius $r_d$ (fm)	1.978 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	1.970 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	1.971 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	1.9754(9)
Quadrupole moment $Q_d$ (fm <sup>2</sup> )	0.285 <sup>b</sup>	<b>0.280</b> <sup>b</sup>	<b>0.280</b> <sup>b</sup>	0.286(1)
$D$ -state probability $P_D$ (%)	4.51	4.85	5.76	

<sup>*a*</sup> With MEC and rel. corrections (Friar, Martorell & Sprung).

<sup>b</sup> Including MEC and rel. corrections in the amount of 0.010 fm<sup>2</sup> (Henning).

# Charge-dependence: There is a pp, np, and nn potential.

#### CHARGE DEPENDENCE

$Classification^a$	Order	Contribution
LØ	$lpha Q^{-2}$	$\Delta m_{\pi}  ext{ in OPE}$
		Coulomb $(pp)$
NLØ	$lpha Q^0$	$\Delta m_{\pi}$ in LO TPE
		LO $\pi\gamma$ exchange
		$Q^0$ CIB contact
		$Q^0$ CSB contact

<sup>a</sup> Using the notation of Walzl et al..

TABLE IV. Scattering lengths (a) and effective ranges (r) in units of fm.  $(a_{pp}^{C} \text{ and } r_{pp}^{C} \text{ refer to the } pp \text{ parameters in the presence of the Coulomb force. } a^{N} \text{ and } r^{N} \text{ denote parameters determined from the nuclear force only and with all electromagnetic effects omitted.}$ 

	$N^{3}LO^{a}$	$\operatorname{Experiment}^{b}$
	$^{1}S_{0}$	D
$a_{pp}^C$	-7.8188	$-7.8196\pm0.0026$
$C_{pp}$	2.795	$2.790 \pm 0.014$
N PP	-17.083	
N pp	2.876	
$\hat{N}_{nn}$	-18.900	$-18.9\pm0.4$
$\sum_{n=1}^{N}$	2.838	$2.75 \pm 0.11$
np	-23.732	$-23.740\pm0.020$
$^{np}$	2.725	$2.77\pm0.05$
	<sup>3</sup> S <sub>1</sub>	L
t	5.417	$5.419 \pm 0.007$
t	1.752	$1.753\pm0.008$

N3LO potential by Entem & Machleidt, PRC 68, 041001 (2003).

#### R. Machleidt



# Three-nucleon forces at N2LO



**Strategy:** Adjust D and E to two few-nucleon observables, e.g., the triton and alpha-particle binding energies. Then **predict** properties of other light nuclei.

Applications in microscopic nuclear structure: Calculating the properties of light nuclei using chiral 2N and 3N forces

10B

"No-Core Shell Model " Calculations by P. Navratil et al., LLNL



Nuclear Forces - Lecture 4 CNS Summer School 2005

### Microscopic nuclear structure, cont'd



"No-Core Shell Model " Calculations by P. Navratil et al., LLNL



Nuclear Forces - Lecture 4 CNS Summer School 2005

# Conclusions

- After 70 years of struggle, we have now a proper theory for nuclear forces that is based upon the fundamental theory of strong interactions, QCD. It is chiral effective field theory in which the pion plays a fundamental role. Thus, the original Yukawa idea that there is ONE MESON that makes the nuclear force is re-instated, for low-energy nuclear physics.
- Based upon chiral perturbation theory (ChPT), quantitative NN potentials have been successfully developed and are available for nuclear structure applications.
- In ChPT, two- and many-body forces are generated on an equal footing. There is no arbitrariness anymore.
- A new and exciting era has started for microscopic nuclear structure!